

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO),	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/990,187		11/20/2001	Sundeep Dugar	219002029300	1222	
25225	7590	03/05/2004		EXAMINER		
MORRIS	ON & FO	DERSTER LLP	HABTE, KAHSAY			
3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 500 SAN DIEC	_	92130-2332		1624		
X				DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/990,187	DUGAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Kahsay Habte, Ph. D.	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/28	<u>3/2003</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11,12 and 15-44 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11,12 and 15-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/990,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-9, 11-12 and 15-44 are pending.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed 11/28/03 in response to the previous Office Action (Paper No. 12) is acknowledged. Rejections of claims 1-9, 11-12, 15-39 and 42-44 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraph (Paper No. 12, paragraphs 4 and 5a-5d) have been obviated.

Upon further review of this case and a related case (SN: 10/157,048), it is deemed necessary to issue a new Office Action that will replace the earlier Office Actions.

Objections

3. Claim 1 and claims dependent thereon are objected because of the phrases "L¹ and L² are linkers", "W and X is a spacer" and "non-interfering substituents." It is recommended that applicants define the linkers (L¹ and L²) and the spacers (W and X) to overcome this objection. Note that the "linkers", "non-interfering substituents" and "spacers" are defined in a related case 10/157,048.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Application/Control Number: 09/990,187

Art Unit: 1624

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There has been recited a method to treat a condition mediated by p38- α kinase, but the specification is not enabled for such a scope.

The claim as written indicates the treatment of diseases mediated by p38- α kinase. The term "mediate" includes both the agonistic or antagonistic actions. To this day, there are no compounds that would have both activities at the same time. For example, a drug that is used as anticoagulant can't be used to treat hemophilia. Applicants can overcome the rejection by reciting specific diseases, for which they have support in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9, 11-12 and 15-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/990,187 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

a. In claim 1, the phrase "the distance between the atom of Ar linked to L^2 and the center of the β ring is no more than 24 Å" is not clear. How is it linked? What is the significance of this distance? What is liner L^2 ? Is it linear? Branched? It is recommended that applicants delete the phrase to overcome the rejection.

b. In claim 42, there has been recited a method to treat a condition mediated by p38-α kinase. The scope of claim 42 is unknown. Which diseases are these? Determining whether a given disease responds or does not respond to such mediator will surely involve undue experimentation. Suppose that a given mediator "compound X" when administered to a patient with Disease D does not obtain a response. Does one then conclude that Disease D does not fall within this claim? Keep in mind that:

A. It may be that the next patient will respond. It is quite common for pharmaceuticals to work only with some people, not all. Thus, how many need to be tested?

B. It may be that the wrong dosage or dosage regimen was employed. It is quite common for pharmaceuticals to work at one dosage, but not at another which is significantly higher or lower. Furthermore, the dosage regimen may be vital --- should the drug be given e.g. once a day, or four times in divided dosages? Thus, how many dosages and dosage regimens must be tried before one is certain that this pharmaceutical won't affect Disease D?

C. It may be that X simply isn't potent enough for Disease D, but that another inhibitor Y is potent enough, so that D really does fall within the claim. Thus, how many

Application/Control Number: 09/990,187

Art Unit: 1624

different mediators must be tried before one concludes that D doesn't fall within the claim?

D. Conversely, if D responds to Y but not to X, can one really conclude that D falls within the claim? It may be that the X result is giving the accurate answer, and that the success of Y arises from some other unknown property which Y is capable of.

Thus, when mixed results are obtained, how many more pharmaceuticals need be tested?

E. Finally, suppose that X really will work, but only when combined with Z. There are for example, agents in the antiviral and anticancer technology which are not themselves effective, but the disease will respond when the agents are combined with something else.

F. In addition, literally speaking, any disorder can be treated with any drug, although the treatment might not be successful. Assuming that "successful treatment" is what is intended, what criterion is to be used? If one person in 10 responds to a given drug, does that mean that the disease is treatable? One in 100? 1,000? 10,000? Please see the enablement rejection in paragraph 4 for details.

As a result, determining the true scope of the claim will involve extensive and potentially open-ended research. Without it, one skilled in the art cannot determine the actual scope of the claim. Hence, the claim is indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,187

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on (571) 272-0674 or if there is no response within 24 hours call James Wilson on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. Examiner Art Unit 1624

KH March 2, 2004 Richard Raymond Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624